Original article

Study of effect of epidural clonidine alone as an adjuvant on sensory characteristics of intrathecal bupivacaine in the patients undergoing infraumbilical surgeries

¹Dr Kiran Patil , *²Dr.(Mrs) Jha M.G.

¹Resident, ²Professor & HOD

Department of Anesthesiology, Rural Medical College, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences (DU) , Loni Corresponding author*

Abstract:

Introduction: Both epidural and spinal anaesthesia produce what is known as a neuraxial block. Deposition of local anaesthetic into the spinal space produces blockade of conduction much more rapidly, as the meninges do not need to be traversed in order to reach the site of action. A smaller dose of local anaesthetic is required as it rapidly diffuses through the cerebrospinal fluid to produce sensory blockade. The anaesthetic and the analgesic requirement get reduced to a huge extent by the adjuvant like clonidine because of its analgesic properties and augmentation of local anaesthetic effects.

Material and methods: It was an observational longitudinal study of 124 enrolled patients conducted during 2 years period from October 2016 in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Pravara Rural Hospital (PRH) Loni. A total of 124 enrolled patients belonging to American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical Status grade I and II undergoing infraumbilical procedures were allocated into group C (Control) and group S (Study) of 62 patients each. Group C included patients who had received SAB (Sub Arachnoid Block) bupivacaine 0.5%, 15 mg (3 ml) intrathecally. Group S included patients who had received injection clonidine 150 µg, diluted to 5 ml in normal saline (NS) via epidural catheter 10 min before SAB. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22

Results: Epidural clonidine produced faster (p<0.05) onset (mean=37.29 sec in group S compared to mean=51.39 sec in group C) of sensory blockade. The time for two segment regression was greater in study (p<0.05) group (192.81 min) compared to control group (107.82 min). The total duration of analgesia was greater (p<0.05) in study group (302.60 min) compared to control group (154.74 mins).

Conclusion: From this study, we conclude that, the epidural administration of clonidine prior to SAB has an adjuvant effects like faster onset and greater duration of sensory blockade along with prolonged duration of analgesia.

Introduction:

Both epidural and spinal anaesthesia produce what is known as a neuraxial block. Deposition of local anaesthetic into the spinal space produces blockade of conduction much more rapidly, as the meninges do not need to be traversed in order to reach the site of action. A smaller dose of local anaesthetic is required as it rapidly diffuses through the cerebrospinal fluid to produce sensory and motor blockade.

Combined spinal–epidural (CSE) anaesthesia offers a safe and inexpensive technique with the advantage of both spinal and epidural anaesthesia. It provides faster onset of surgical anaesthesia and prolongs the duration of post-operative pain relief. Various adjuvants further increase its efficacy¹.

The addition of opioid does provide a dose sparing effect of local anaesthetic and superior analgesia but there is always a possibility of an increased incidence of pruritis, urinary retention, nausea, vomiting and respiratory depression^{2,3}.

Our aim was to study and evaluate the onset and duration of sensory blockade and further study the total duration of analgesia with epidural adjuvant clonidine.

Material and methods:

An observational longitudinal study of 124 enrolled patients was conducted during 2 years period from October 2016 in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Pravara Rural Hospital (PRH) Loni. A total of 124 enrolled patients belonging to American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical Status grade I and II undergoing infraumbilical procedures were allocated into group C (Control) and group S (Study) of 62 patients each. Group C included patients who had received SAB (Sub Arachnoid Block) bupivacaine 0.5%, 15 mg (3 ml) intrathecally. Group S included patients who had received injection clonidine 150 µg, diluted to 5 ml in normal saline (NS) via epidural catheter 10 min before SAB. All drugs were administered by consultant anaesthesiologist who was not involved in the study. Characteristic of sensory blockade and total duration of analgesia were observed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software.

Patient Inclusion criteria:

a) Patients from age range 18 - 60 years.

- b)ASA grade I and II, without any co-morbid disease.
- c) Patients willing for the study and giving written informed consent.

Patient Exclusion criteria:

- a) Patients with known coagulopathy or patients on anti coagulants
- b) Patients with known hypersensitivity to study drugs.
- c) Infection at the site of combined spinal epidural block.
- d) Patients with neurological or psychiatric disorders.

Fischer exact test and Chi-Square test were applied for nominal data. Student's *t* test was used for parametric data. P < 0.05 considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software.

Sensory block:

Sensory block was assessed as loss of pin prick sensation using the blunt needle. Sensory block was graded as -

- Grade 0: Sharp prick felt at L1 dermatome.
- Grade 1: Analgesia, dull sensation felt at L1 dermatome.
- Grade 2: Anaesthesia, no sensation felt at L1 dermatome.

Duration of sensory blockade: Duration of sensory blockade was the time from the onset of sensory blockade to return of dull pain and VAS > 5. Surgery commenced after sensory block at T_6 dermatome was attained.

Observations & Results:

The present observational prospective longitudinal study was undertaken to compare adjuvant epidural clonidine with hyperbaric bupivacaine SAB and plain hyperbaric bupivacaine SAB in infraumbilical surgeries.

Table.1a, 1b and 1 c shows the demographics of age, gender and ASA grades between the control and study groups.

Age demographics					
	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	P Value
Age	Control	62	42.32	13.58	>0.05
	Study	62	42.82	12.94	>0.05

Table. 1a Age demographics

Table 1b. Gender demographics

Gender (<i>p>0.05</i>)					
	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	Total		
Control	46 (74.19%)	16 (25.80%)	62		
Study	36 (58.06%)	26 (41.93%)	62		

Table. 1c ASA grades

ASA grades (p>0.05)						
	ASA grade I n (%)	ASA grade II n (%)	P Value	Total		
Control	44 (70.96%)	18 (29.03%)	> 0.05	62		
Study	38 (61.29%)	24 (38.70%)	> 0.05	62		

The statistical analysis with Chi Square test showed no significant difference between age, gender and ASA grades of Control and Study groups.

The Chi Square and Student T test showed significant difference (p<0.05) between control and study group with respect to various parameters shown in Table.2. Graph.1 shows the bar diagram.

The statistical tests for Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was carried out in control and study group at 30 min, 60 min, 120 min, 180 min, 240 min, 300 min and 360 min was carried out. The Chi Square and Fischer Exact test showed significant difference (p<0.05) between the study and control groups. Graph.2 shows the line diagram for pain score.

Parameters between study and control groups				
	Group	N	Mean ± SD	P value
Onset of sensory blockade at L1 (seconds)	Control	62	51.39 ± 2.26	<0.05
	Study	62	37.29 ± 3.61	
Time to two segment regression (minutes)	Control	62	107.82 ± 5.21	< 0.05
	Study	62	192.81 ± 6.26	
Total duration of analgesia (minutes)	Control	62	154.74 ± 7.89	<0.05
	Study	62	302.60 ± 12.98	

Table.2 Comparison of parameters between Control and Study groups.



Graph.1 Mean of parameters of groups



Graph.2 Pain scores

Discussion:

The use of neuraxial opioids is associated with quite a few side effects, so various options including α -2 agonists are being extensively evaluated as an alternative with emphasis on opioid-related side effects such as respiratory depression, nausea, urinary retention and pruritis³⁻⁵. The pharmacologic properties of α -2 agonists have been extensively studied and have been employed clinically to achieve the desired effects in regional anaesthesia⁶⁻⁹.

We had a faster onset of sensory blocakade (37.29 \pm 3.61sec), higher time for two segment sensory regressions (192.81 \pm 6.26 min) and prolonged duration of analgesia (302.60 \pm 12.98) in the Group S (clonidine).

Our results are comparable to the results by Prasad et al¹⁰, Satheesa et al¹¹ and and Shobhana et al¹².

Clonidine is a centrally acting partial α_2 adrenoceptor agonist with selectivity ratio of 200:1. Its analgesic effect is mediated by binding to postsynaptic α_2 receptors (G-protein coupled inhibitory receptors) in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. This mimics the effects of noradrenaline which is released from the descending inhibitory pathways in the central nervous system. Thus, decreased activity of the second-order neurons and wide dynamic range neurons in the dorsal horn occurs which in turn attenuates the input from peripheral nociceptive A_{δ} and C fibres. Studies in rats show that clonidine partially inhibits voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels and suppresses generation of action potentials in tonic firing spinal dorsal horn neuron¹³. As clonidine-induced analgesia is mediated by activation of adrenergic receptors on the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, intrathecal or epidural administration of the drug close to its action site seems to be logical.

Agarwal et al¹⁴ studied clonidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia in 60 elderly patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgeries. Clonidine facilitated the ascent of sensory level block to higher dermatomes for a longer time.

Clonidine, a α -2 agonist agent, is causing analgesia with a non-opioid mechanism as an alternative agent to opioid⁶. Alpha-2 agonists when used as adjuvant in central neuraxial blockade produce significantly lower post-operative pain scores without any of these opioid-related side effects^{4,5}. As side effects, such as sedation, hypotension or bradycardia, are at least partly related to systemic absorption, the route that provides the best balance between analgesia and side effects is more acceptable.

When given by epidural route with local anesthetics, it increases analgesia potency. However, it is also true that it causes side effects like hypotension, bradycardia and sedation⁷.

This has been demonstrated in a variety of clinical settings^{15,16,17,18} and has shown to result in the prolongation of the sensory blockade and a reduction in the amount or the concentration of local anesthetic required to produce postoperative analgesia. Previous work with epidural infusions has shown that 150 μ g of clonidine, when added to bupivacaine 0.25% approximately doubled the duration of the analgesia produced¹⁷.

Studies comparing effects of the two α_2 agonists – dexmedetomidine and clonidine on spinal and epidural anaesthesia – have found that both produce a similar prolongation in the duration of the motor and sensory block with preserved haemodynamic stability and sedation⁵.

Additive effect of clonidine to local anesthetics can be explained by various mechanisms. Alpha-2 agonists form their antinociceptive effects probably by affecting descending noradrenergic tract in spinal cord that plays an important role in pain modulation by a non-opioid mechanism⁵. Noradrenergic ganglions in pons and medulla cannot be activated by opioid or noxious stimulus that causes nor epinephrine secretion at dorsal horn of spinal cord^{2,3}. When molecular weight, lipid solubility and cerebrospinal fluid pharmacokinetics of clonidine are taken into consideration, start of its analgesic effect and duration of analgesia can be expected to be similar to Fentanyl but analgesic effect of Fentanyl starts faster and lasts longer^{8,9}.

Alpha-2 adrenergic agonists are known to have spinal and epidural antinociceptive effect via alpha-2 receptor sub types. Clonidine, a well-known alpha-2 adrenergic agonist, produces a synergistic antinociceptive effect with opioids. Several studies have been published in the last decades describing the anesthetic sparing effects and analgesic property of epidural clonidine¹⁻³. It was stated that clonidine neither affects proprioception like local anesthetics nor causes respiratory depression, itching, nausea and vomiting like opioids, but, when given by epidural route with local anesthetics, it increase analgesia potency.

Conclusion:

From this study, we conclude that, the epidural administration of clonidine prior to SAB has an adjuvant effects like faster onset and greater duration of sensory blockade along with prolonged duration of analgesia.

References:

- 1. Rawal N, Holmström B, Crowhurst JA, Van Zundert A. The combined spinal-epidural technique. Anesthesiol Clin North America 2000;18:267-95.
- 2. Salomaki TE, Laitinen JO, Nuutinen LS. A randomized doubleblind comparison of epidural versus intravenous fentanyl infusion for analgesia after thoracotomy. Anesthesiology 1991;75:790-5.
- 3. Lorenzini C, Moreira LB, Ferreira MB. Efficacy of ropivacaine compared with ropivacaine plus sufentanil for postoperative analgesia after major knee surgery. Anaesthesia 2002;57:424-8.
- 4. Klimscha W, Chiari A, Krafft P. Hemodynamic and analgesic effects of clonidine added repetitively to continuous epidural and spinal blocks. Anesth Analg 1995;80:322-7.
- 5. Scheinin M, Pihlavisto M. Molecular pharmacology of alpha2- adrenoceptor agonists. Baillière's Clin Anaesth 2000;14:247-60.

Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research; September 2018: Vol.-7, Issue- 4, P. 463 - 469

- Correa-Sales C. A hypnotic response to dexmedetomidine, an alpha-2 agonist, is mediated in the locus coeruleus in rats. Anesthesiology 1992;76:948-52.
- 7. Eisenach J et al. Anesthesiology 85: 655, 1996
- 8. Chiari, Astrid. Neostigmine and Alpha-2 Agonists as Additives for Neuraxial Blockade January 2000
- Taittonen MT, Kirvelä OA, Aantaa R, Kanto JH. Effect of clonidine and dexmedetomidine premedication on perioperative oxygen consumption and haemodynamic state. Br J Anaesth 1997;78:400-6.
- Rachna Prasad, Effect of epidural clonidine on characteristics of spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing gynaecological surgeries: A clinical study, Indian J Anaesth. 2016 Jun; 60(6): 398–402.
- 11. M Sathesha, RS Raghavendra Rao, Said Javid Hassan, K Sudheesh , Clonidine as a sole epidural adjuvant in combined spinal-epidural: Clinical study , Anesthesia Essay , 12(2) , 2018 , 309 312
- Shobhana Gupta, Dipak Raval, Mita Patel, Nirav Patel, Nehal Shah, Addition of epidural Clonidine enhances postoperative analgesia: A double-blind study in total knee- replacement surgeries Anesth Essays Res. 2010 Jul-Dec; 4(2): 70–74. doi: 10.4103/0259-1162.73510.
- 13. Wolff M, Heugel P, Hempelmann G, Scholz A, Mühling J, Olschewski A. Clonidine reduces the excitability of spinal dorsal horn neurones. Br J Anaesth. 2007;98:353–61.
- Deepti Agarwal, Manish Chopra, Medha Mohta, and Ashok Kumar Sethi. Clonidine as an adjuvant to hyperbaric bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgeries. Saudi J Anaesth. 2014 Apr-Jun; 8(2): 209–214.
- 15. Virlos I, Clements D, Beynon J, Ratnalikar V, Khot U. Short-term outcomes with intrathecal versus epidural analgesia in laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Br J Surg 2010;97(9):1401–1406
- Levy BF, Scott MJ, Fawcett W, Fry C, Rockall TA. Randomized clinical trial of epidural, spinal or patientcontrolled analgesia for patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Br J Surg 2011;98(8):1068– 1078.
- Carabine UA, Milligan KR, Moore J: Extradural clonidine and bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia. Br J Anaesth 1992; 68:132-5.
- 18. Racle JP, Benkhadra A, Poy JY, Bleizal B: Prolongation of isobaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia with epinephrine and clonidine for hip surgery in the elderly. Anesth Analg 1987; 66:442-6.